Towards an Identity-Centric Security Strategy
Let’s face it, cyber security professionals are not faring well against the increasing sophistication of attacks by hackers. According to the 2017 Verizon Data Breach report, the industry witnessed a challenging 2016 with a whopping 40% increase in successful data breaches against U.S. companies and government agencies as compared to 2015. We’re currently on pace to exceed that number in 2017.

Cyber attacks have now played a role not only in criminal endeavors but international politics as well.

What are we missing?
It’s Not (Just) a Budget or Staffing Issue

Unfortunately, the solution isn’t a simple increase in security budgets, though that has certainly been tried in spades. In fact, worldwide spending on security-related hardware, software and services rose to $73.7 billion in 2016 from $68.2 billion a year earlier, according to researcher IDC. And that number is expected to approach $90 billion in 2018, with much of it dedicated to the elements of the “security stack” listed in the sidebar.

Even with all of the additional spending, 2016 was a record year for data breaches, including the high-profile compromises at Wendy’s and the Democratic National Convention. 4,149 data breaches compromised more than 4.2 billion records, shattering the previous all-time high of about 1 billion exposed records in 2013.

In order to understand why all this technology and human capital investment is still not staunching the flow of successful attacks, we need to understand how most of those attacks happen in the first place.

The Security Stack

- **UBA**: User Behavior Analytics
- **IGA**: Identity Governance & Administration
- **PAM**: Privileged Access Management
- **CASB**: Cloud Access Security Broker
- **EMM**: Enterprise Mobility Management
- **Firewalls / Network**
- **VPN**: Virtual Private Network
- **DLP**: Data Leakage Prevention
The Anatomy of a Data Breach

Generally, a data breach follows the same pattern, typically referred to as a “Kill Chain”, and occurs in 4 steps1:

1. Reconnaissance: This is where attackers surreptitiously monitor their targets to identify their weaknesses.

2. Infiltration: The attacker finds a way in your network (for example, through phishing)

3. Exploitation: The attacker seeks ways to elevate access, compromising privileged accounts and uses tools to scan the network for files with sensitive data.

4. Exfiltration: The attacker pushes relevant data outside of your network, frequently to cloud storage locations.

A careful reader will notice an interesting fact: Most organizations today already have most, if not all, of the tools that they need to detect and correct each step in the kill chain (see sidebar on previous page). However, in spite of having these tools in their arsenal, those organizations still remain vulnerable to breaches.

---

1 This model was proposed by SailPoint CTO Darran Rolls, and is itself a condensed version of a seven-step model originally forwarded by Lockheed-Martin circa 2013.
Mind the Gap

An organization has many types of internal controls, of which two important ones are detective controls and corrective controls. As their names imply, detective controls are responsible for noticing signs of bad activity, and corrective controls are the mechanisms by which that bad activity can be stopped in its tracks. Most elements of an organization’s “security stack” serve as either detective controls or corrective controls, and some do both.

The challenge is that most of the detective and corrective components in an organization’s security stack are not well integrated. Even though all of your company’s controls may be working as designed, they’re not communicating with one another, creating gaps in coverage and delays in response times. Sophisticated attackers exploit these gaps and delays to proliferate within your network and exfiltrate your data.

A new approach is needed to effectively defend against modern cyber attacks. Central to this approach is the notion that these various crucial elements of an organization’s security arsenal need to be integrated and work together in a well-orchestrated and unified manner.
Identity can unify your cyber security strategy in a meaningful way. It is the central and common construct that threads through your entire security and IT infrastructure.

Analysis of the anatomy of the data breach points at a glaring fact: there’s a direct relationship between the quality of an organization’s controls of their digital identities and the strength of its ability to successfully defend against breaches.

Steps 1, 3, and 4 of the Kill Chain can be identified based on patterns of identity behaviors (what identities are doing), and steps 2 and 3 rely on compromising identity accounts (what identities have access to). When compromised, this combination can launch a devastating attack on an organization’s data and resources.

Therefore, identity-related technologies must be at the core of an organization’s security architecture - in specific, technology that controls both an organization’s identity accounts and identity behavior. Luckily, technologies already exist that provide effective controls for both aspects of digital identity.

User Behavior Analytics (UBA) refers to the evolution of security intelligence and event management (SIEM) platforms towards an identity-centric paradigm. Using pre-defined rules as well as AI and machine learning, a UBA solution understands normal behavior patterns for a user or groups of users and can then warn an organization when a user is behaving abnormally.

Identity Governance & Administration (IGA) platforms bind users to the various accounts that they can access. They are the foundation of a broader identity management process that ensures that accounts and privileges are properly managed in line with a given user’s roles and responsibilities within their organization.

UBA can form the foundation for an organization’s detective controls – those controls that are responsible for catching signs of bad activity. Conversely, IGA for the most part delivers corrective controls – the mechanisms by which bad activity can be stopped in its tracks.
Identity as the Foundation for Detective Controls

Identity technologies can provide a robust detective control layer for the enterprise. The following chart illustrates some of the detective capabilities of identity technologies.

**Identity as the Foundation for Detective Controls**

**UBA Technology** can identify behavior that is indicative of exfiltration including unusual patterns of data uploads to cloud repositories and emailing abnormally high volumes of sensitive data in the form of attachments.

**IGA Technology** can share the complete list of accounts associated with an identity with UBA, thereby enabling UBA with the ability to track user behaviors across sessions.

**UBA Technology** can detect when a user attempts to switch accounts to a high-privileged account for the first time.

**Privileged User Management technology** can share the list of high-privileged accounts with UBA.

**UBA Technology** can also flag abnormal behavior, such as scanning that typically occurs as part of a data breach.

**UBA Technology** can identify abnormal behavior, such as a log in from a new endpoint. Or repeated authentication attempts. Or geographically dispersed authentication attempts. It can even address more complex scenarios, such as, “identify all sessions where the user came in via the VPN from a new country for the first time, then created a new account, then accessed a server for the first time, and then malware was detected on that server.”

**IGA technology** can share the complete list of accounts associated with an identity with UBA, thereby enabling UBA with the ability to track user behaviors across sessions.

**UBA technology** can detect when a user attempts to switch accounts to a high-privileged account for the first time.

**Privileged User Management technology** can share the list of high-privileged accounts with UBA.

**UBA Technology** can also flag abnormal behavior, such as scanning that typically occurs as part of a data breach.
As shown above, an identity-centric foundation can provide detective controls at each phase of a data breach. But detection alone only alerts security administrators of danger – and although that is valuable in and of itself, policy-based integration amongst security products can go a long way in automating technologies that provide corrective controls.

Most enterprise security organizations have an extensive array of cyber security software that provide a rich layer of corrective controls. Unfortunately, such controls are worthless unless triggered at the appropriate time. With a combination of finely tuned detective controls, and the real-time invocation of corrective controls, you can create a powerful cyber defense to help you thwart data breaches.
Identity-Centric Cyber Security in Action

Let’s examine two common scenarios to better understand how an identity-centric security architecture empowers an organization to better defend itself against cyber attacks.

Scenario 1: Infiltration via Brute Force

Brute force attacks are a common way for a hacker to infiltrate your company. They’re relatively cheap and can be executed quickly and effectively with the tools that are available today. In many cases, these types of attacks go initially unnoticed, are typically attributed to user error, and are not discovered until far too late.

The IGA platform identifies repeated failed login attempts from an unknown IP address. The UBA further correlates that this IP address has had numerous failed login attempts across multiple accounts, denoting a potential attack.

With an identity-centric architecture, the following actions can be taken immediately and without human intervention:

1. Automatically configure the firewall to refuse connections from that IP address.
2. Route the traffic to a honeypot for further analysis.
3. Automatically lock the accounts that are being attacked and force a password change action for those users.
4. Notify the users that there is suspicious activity on their account.
5. Prompt a step-up authentication to challenge those users to provide additional information or security token before authenticating.
6. Notify the security team of a possible breach attempt.
Scenario 2: Data Exfiltration

A privileged identity is invoked for the first time. In isolation, this is only a mildly suspicious action. The user identity’s risk score is incremented to a level of “alert”.

A short time later, a different user identity initiates an unusual file transfer that is a variance from the norm for this identity, based on the file size, file type, and the timeframe when that activity is taking place. This also raises that user’s risk score to a level of “alert”.

Because IGA has bootstrapped UBA with a mapping of human users with privileged accounts, the UBA system now knows that both these alerts were tripped by the same user. The UBA correlation and analytics engine determines that both these actions taken together constitute a potential threat, raising the risk score to “critical”.

Because the company’s security architecture is well integrated, the following actions are immediately taken in real-time and without human intervention:

1. IGA is alerted that the identities associated with the event should be disabled immediately using automated de-provisioning events where possible (for example the AD account).

2. The VPN system is alerted to block the IP address that was associated with the suspicious activities.

3. The DLP system is alerted to block further file transfers or emails from the identities involved (in case the identities have active sessions on these systems).

4. CASB and EMM are notified to immediately block all access for that user from mobile devices and to any cloud assets.

5. Security personnel are alerted to investigate the potential incident and all data related to all of these activities is logged for forensic analysis.
Conclusion

Cyber security is more challenging now than it has ever been before. Fortunately, there’s a veritable arsenal of sophisticated tools that you have at your disposal to stay ahead of the enemy. However, most organizations are not yet using those tools in a smoothly orchestrated and integrated manner.

Identity is the common element that threads through your IT and security infrastructure, and can serve as the unifying construct to synchronize your defenses.

Using identity to integrate UBA-driven detection tools and IGA-driven enforcement tools, you can take an adaptive and responsive approach to cyber security and significantly improve the security posture of your organization.
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